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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the so-called $N$-model, which consists of two pools of servers. Pool 1 contains $N_{1}$ servers while pool 2 consists of one server, which can be inactive time to time. The 1st pool is fed by a Poisson input of the 1 st class customers. Provided all servers of pool 1 are busy and the queue size exceeds a given threshold, a class- 1 customer, with a given probability, jumps to server of pool 2, if this server is active. Under exponential assumptions, the number of customers in the 1st pool is a Markov birth-death process. The periods of activity/inactivity constitute initially a "transient" process, which converges to a stationary regime. In this research we deduce stationary distribution of this Markov process in an explicit form. Moreover, we find conditions, when the mentioned convergence of the alternating process of activity/inactivity of the server in the 2nd pool implies convergence of the birth-death process, describing the 1st pool, to stationary regime. Moreover, this convergence is demonstrated by simulation.


## I. Introduction

In this work, we develop stability analysis of the so-called $N$-model. This model has been proposed and analyzed by an extended fluid approach in the paper [1]. The authors also considered this model in previous work [2]. In general, this model belongs to a class of Skills-Based systems. This property can be expressed in particular by assignment of the servers among customers depending on their class or priority. Both the design and analysis of Skills-Based Routing is a complex and challenging problem. A classic multi-server system describes situation, when all servers are equally-skilled [3], [4]. The $N$-model we consider in this work is a two-pool system, which serves class-1 customers following Poisson input. These customers arrive in the 1st pool, and depending on the state of the queue may jump to pool 2 to be served there. At that, a class- 1 customer meeting all servers in pool 1 busy (or when queue-size in pool 1 exceeds a threshold $C>0$ ) jumps to pool 2 to be served as a class- $(1,2)$ customer. A distinctive feature of this model is that server in pool 2 is unavailable time to time, and when the server is unavailable, class- 1 customer can not jump to pool 2 .

Another variation of this model has been considered in [5]. In the papers [1], [4], [6], [5], [2] can be found a motivation to introduce and study class of $N$-models. Interaction between servers, which exists in $N$-model, makes stability and performance investigation of these systems much more complicated. These models constitute a wide class of the systems with interacting servers. Also models under study
belong to systems with flexible servers and, alternatively, can be called cross-trained servers [7], [8], [9], [10]. We note that flexible servers can be used, when there are a few different classes of customers arrive in the system. In this case, some servers can serve a fixed set of classes of customers, while remaining servers accept more classes of customers. In other setting, service capacity may be shared between servers to increase throughput. An important example of this setting is the cognitive radio, where a dynamic management is applied for using the best wireless channels to avoid congestion. Such a radio can detect unused frequency bands and switch between free channels without interruption of transmission.

We call regime of the server in pool 2 stationary, if the server is switching in inactive state (switch off) as soon as becomes idle. Alternatively, we call this regime transient, if server of pool 2 may stay active after departure of a served customer. Then convergence of the transient regime of the 2nd pool to stationary one can be described as follows: assume that, when the 2 nd pool is idle, inactivity periods appear initially with a rate $\lambda_{2}$. In this case, server, being idle, can be also active. Assume further that $\lambda_{2} \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, each time, when server (in pool 2) becomes idle after completion of service, is switches immediately in inactive state. Namely such a policy we call stationary regime of pool 2 .

The contribution of this work is as follows. First of all, for exponential service times, that is for pure Markovian model, we construct Kolmogorov equations and derive the stationary distribution of the number of customers in the 1st pool with $N_{1} \geq 1$ servers, provided the 2 nd pool (server) is in the stationary regime initially. Another contribution of this work is that now we allow that class-1 customer jumps (in idle) pool 2 with a probability $p$ only. (In previous works [5], [2], [13] we have considered the case $p=1$ only.) Moreover, using approach from [11], we prove the following continuity property of the model: if the 2 nd pool approach stationary regime (that is $\lambda_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ ), then the distribution of the 1st pool approaches the stationary distribution corresponding to the system in which the 2 nd pool is initially in the stationary regime. In this work we also verify the continuity property by simulation.

The paper is organized as follows. We describe the model in Section II. Section III contains the main mentioned above theoretical results: solution of Kolmogorov equations and the proof of convergence queue-size distribution in pool 1 to distribution for the model with initially stationary pool 2.

Section IV contains simulation results

## II. Description of the model

We consider a two-pool queueing model with infinitecapacity buffers. The 1 st pool contains $N_{1}$ servers, while the 2 nd pool contains only one server (we often will not distinguish 2 nd pool and 2 nd server). The 2 nd pool uses multiple vacations policy: when idle, it becomes inactive time to time. It is assumed that the 1 st pool is fed by a Poisson input with rate $\lambda_{1}$. Arriving class- 1 customers can be served by the servers of both pools, and it reflects a flexibility of the servers. Service times of class-1 customers are exponential with rate $\mu_{1}$. If server 2 is active at a moment $t$, then it inspects the state of the 1 st pool and, if the queue size of the 1 st pool $Q_{1}(t)$ exceeds a given threshold $C \geq 0$, then a waiting class-1 customer may jump to the server of pool 2 with a probability $p$, becoming a class- $(1,2)$ customer. Service time of such a customer is exponential with rate $\mu_{12}$. But if the 2nd pool is active at the instant $t$ and $Q_{1}(t)<C$, then the 2nd pool starts an inactive period (vacation). The inactivity periods have exponential duration with rate $\mu_{2}$. As we mentioned above such a regime of the 2 nd pool is called stationary. To obtain an analytic solution, we consider only the case when service rate of class- $(1,2)$ customers equals the rate of the inactivity period lengths of pool 2, that is $\mu_{1}=\mu_{12}$.

It is assumed that service times of class- $i$ customers $\left\{S_{k}^{(i)}, k \geq 1\right\}$ are independent, exponential with rate

$$
\mu_{i}=1 / E S^{(i)} \in(0, \infty), i=1,(1,2) .
$$

(In what follows, we omit the serial index to denote a generic element of an i.i.d sequence.) All sequences are assumed to be independent.

We denote $Q_{1}(t), X_{1}(t), Z_{1}(t)$ the number of customer waiting in the queue, the number of busy servers and the total number of customers in pool 1 , respectively, at instant $t^{-}$. We note, that it is does not matter for stability analysis, which waiting class- 1 customer jumps to pool 2 , when server of pool 2 is active and $Q_{1}(t) \geq C$.

## III. Theoretical results

In this section, we derive the stationary distribution of the number of customers at pool 1.

For this purpose we compose Kolmogorov equations for the stationary probabilities of the state of the 1st queue, considering that the 2 nd pool is in stationary regime initially. Introduce traffic intensities
for $k=1, \ldots, N_{1}$

$$
\rho_{k}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{k \mu_{1}},
$$

and

$$
\rho_{N_{1}+C+1}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{N_{1} \mu_{1}+p \mu_{2}} .
$$

It is easy to check, that the following balance relations for stationary distribution of the process $\left\{Z_{1}(t)\right\}$ hold true:
for $k=0, \ldots, N_{1}-1$ the following equations hold

$$
\lambda_{1} \mathbf{P}_{k}=(k+1) \mu_{1} \mathbf{P}_{k+1},
$$

whence it follows, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{k+1}=\prod_{1}^{k+1} \rho_{i} \mathrm{P}_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k=0, \ldots, C-1$

$$
\lambda_{1} \mathrm{P}_{N_{1}+k}=N_{1} \mu_{1} \mathrm{P}_{N_{1}+k+1}
$$

implying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{N_{1}+k+1}=\rho_{N_{1}}^{k+1} \prod_{1}^{N_{1}} \rho_{i} \mathrm{P}_{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also for $k \geq 0$

$$
\lambda_{1} \mathrm{P}_{N_{1}+C+k}=\left(N_{1} \mu_{1}+p \mu_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}_{N_{1}+C+k+1},
$$

and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{N_{1}+C+k+1}=\left[\rho_{N_{1}+C+1}\right]^{k+1}\left[\rho_{N_{1}}\right]^{C} \prod_{1}^{N_{1}} \rho_{i} \mathrm{P}_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of normalization condition $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{P}_{k}=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{P}_{0} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \rho_{i}+\mathrm{P}_{0} \sum_{l=1}^{C} \rho_{N_{1}}{ }^{l} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \rho_{i} \\
& +\mathrm{P}_{0}\left[\rho_{N_{1}}\right]^{C} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\rho_{N_{1}+C+1}\right]^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \rho_{i} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

It gives the following explicit expression for $P_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{P}_{0} & =\left[1+\sum_{l=1}^{N_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \rho_{i}+\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \rho_{i} \frac{\rho_{N_{1}}\left(1-\left[\rho_{N_{1}}\right]^{C}\right)}{1-\rho_{N_{1}}}\right. \\
& \left.+\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \rho_{i}\left[\rho_{N_{1}}\right]^{C} \frac{\rho_{N_{1}+C+1}}{1-\rho_{N_{1}+C+1}}\right]^{-1}, \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where, recall,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{N_{1}+C+1}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{N_{1} \mu_{1}+p \mu_{2}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall, that $\mathrm{E} Q_{1}$ is the mean stationary number of customers in the queue of the pool 1 .

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E} Q_{1} & =\sum_{k=N_{1}+1}^{\infty}\left(k-N_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}_{k} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \rho_{i} P_{0} \sum_{k=N_{1}+1}^{N_{1}+C}\left(k-N_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{N_{1}}\right]^{k-N_{1}} \\
& +\rho_{N_{1}}^{C} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \rho_{i} P_{0} \sum_{k=N_{1}+C+1}^{\infty}\left(k-N_{1}\right)\left[\rho_{N_{1}+C+1}\right]^{k-N_{1}-C} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \rho_{i} P_{0}\left[\frac{\rho_{N_{1}}}{\left(1-\rho_{N_{1}}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\rho_{N_{1}}^{C}-C \rho_{N_{1}}^{C}+C \rho_{N_{1}}^{C+1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\rho_{N_{1}}^{C} \rho_{N_{1}+C+1}\left(C-C \rho_{N_{1}+C+1}+1\right)}{\left(1-\rho_{N_{1}+C+1}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall, that $\mathrm{E} X_{1}$ is the mean stationary number of busy servers in the 1 st pool (assumed, that pool 2 is in a stationary regime)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E} X_{1} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N_{1}} k \mathrm{P}_{k}+N_{1} P\left(k \geq N_{1}+1, k \leq N_{1}+C\right) \\
& +N_{1} P\left(k \geq N_{1}+C+1\right) \\
& =P_{0}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{N_{1}} k \prod_{i=1}^{k} \rho_{i}+N_{1} \rho_{N_{1}} \frac{1-\rho_{N_{1}} C^{C}}{1-\rho_{N_{1}}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \rho_{i}\right. \\
& \left.+N_{1} \rho_{N_{1}}{ }^{C} \frac{\rho_{N_{1}+C+1}}{1-\rho_{N_{1}+C+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \rho_{i}\right] . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we study the model with the server of pool 2, which is in a "transient "regime. It means, that intervals between starting points of inactivity periods of the server are exponentially distributed with rate $\lambda_{2}$. Thus the stationary distribution $\left\{\mathrm{P}_{k}\right\}$ we found above (see (1)-(3), (5)) formally relates to the case, when $\lambda_{2}=\infty$, which we call stationary multiple vacation regime of the 2 nd pool, or stationary regime, for short. Now we prove the following convergence property of the process $\left\{Z_{1}(t)\right\}$ : the distribution of the process $\left\{Z_{1}(t)\right\}$ converges, as $\lambda_{2} \rightarrow \infty$, to the stationary distribution $\left\{\mathrm{P}_{k}\right\}$ (which corresponds to initially stationary pool 2 ).

Note, that it has been proved in [12], that the 1st pool is stationary, if the following sufficient condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu_{1} N_{1}+p \mu_{2}-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}>0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition 9 provides stability of the 1 st pool apart from the threshold $C$.

To prove this property, we use a condition obtained in [11], which is formulated below for the birth-and-death process $\left\{Z_{1}(t), t \geq 0\right\}$ with birth (input) rates $\lambda(k)$ and death (service) rates $\mu(k)$, where $k$ is the current state of the process $Z_{1}$. In case, when $C=0$, we obtain, that birth and death rates as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(k) & =\lambda_{1}, \\
\mu(k) & =\mu_{1} k, \quad k \leq N_{1}, \\
\mu(k) & =\mu_{1} N_{1}+p \mu_{2}, \quad k>N_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We must verify the following condition from [11]:
$\inf _{k \geq 0}\left(\lambda(k)+\mu(k+1)-\frac{d_{k-1}}{d_{k}} \mu(k)-\frac{d_{k+1}}{d_{k}} \lambda(k+1)>0\right.$,
where constants $d_{k}$ must be positive. We take the following constants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{k} & =1, k=-1, \cdots, N_{1}-1, \\
d_{N_{1}} & =1+\epsilon=\delta, \\
d_{N_{1}+k} & =\delta^{k+1}, \quad k \geq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon>0$ will be selected below.
For $k=0, \cdots, N_{1}-2$ we obtain, that condition (10) indeed holds:

$$
\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1}(k+1)-\mu_{1} k-\lambda_{1}=\mu_{1}>0 .
$$

For $\mathrm{k}=N_{1}-1$, we have
$\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} N_{1}-\mu_{1}\left(N_{1}-1\right)-(1+\epsilon) \lambda_{1}=\mu_{1}-\epsilon \lambda_{1}>0$, if we take $\epsilon<\mu_{1} / \lambda_{1}$.

For $\mathrm{k}=N_{1}$ it follows, that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} N_{1}+p \mu_{2}-\frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \mu_{1} N_{1}-(1+\epsilon) \lambda_{1} \\
=\frac{-\epsilon^{2} \lambda_{1}+\epsilon\left(\mu_{1} N_{1}+\mu_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)+\mu_{2}}{1+\epsilon}>0,
\end{array}
$$

if in turn, we select $\epsilon<\epsilon_{1}$, where
$\epsilon_{1}=\frac{\mu_{1} N_{1}+p \mu_{2}-\lambda_{1}+\sqrt{\left(\mu_{1} N_{1}+p \mu_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}+4 p \lambda_{1} \mu_{2}}}{2 \lambda_{1}}$
is a positive root of a quadratic function

$$
-\epsilon^{2} \lambda_{1}+\epsilon\left(\mu_{1} N_{1}+\mu_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)+\mu_{2}=0 .
$$

Finally, for $k \geq N_{1}+1$, we have
$\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} N_{1}+p \mu_{2}-\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}\left(\mu_{1} N_{1}+p \mu_{2}\right)-(1+\epsilon) \lambda_{1}>0$,
if

$$
\epsilon<\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}\left(\mu_{1} N_{1}+p \mu_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) .
$$

It is clear, that

$$
\epsilon_{1}>\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}\left(\mu_{1} N_{1}+p \mu_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) .
$$

Taking into account all restrictions to $\epsilon$, we obtain, that condition (10) holds if $\epsilon$ satisfies the following constraints:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\epsilon<\min \left(\frac{\mu_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{\mu_{1} N_{1}+p \mu_{2}-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}\right. \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remain to note that $\epsilon>0$, satisfying (11) exists by condition (9).

## IV. Simulation

In this section we demonstrate convergence of $\mathrm{E} Q_{1}$ and $\mathrm{E} X_{1}$ in the model with the 2 nd pool, which is in a transient regime to the corresponding values in the model with initially stationary server of the 2 nd pool.

We denote by $\hat{\mathrm{E}} Q_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{E}} X_{1}$ the sample mean estimates of the mean queue size $\mathrm{E} Q_{1}$ and the mean number of busy servers $\mathrm{E} X_{1}$ obtained in formulas (7) and (8), respectively.

In simulation we apply the number of arrivals $n=100000$ and

$$
\lambda_{2}{ }^{(j)}=(j+1) \lambda_{2}{ }^{(0)}, 0 \leq j \leq 13,
$$

where $\lambda_{2}{ }^{(0)}=0.5$. To obtain smoothed trajectory, in each case we perform 100 runs. We use " R studio" software to run the simulative model.

Recall, that this property was proved for case with $C=0$. And we demonstrate it for the system with exponential service time and the following parameters

$$
\lambda_{1}=18, \mu_{1}=10, \mu_{12}=\mu_{2}=5, p=0.1, N_{1}=2
$$

(see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2).


Fig. 1. Convergence of $\hat{\mathrm{E}} Q_{1}$ to the theoretical value $\mathrm{E} Q_{1}=5.947, C=$ $0, p=0.1$


Fig. 2. Convergence of $\hat{\mathrm{E}} X_{1}$ to the theoretical value $\mathrm{E} X_{1}=1.764, C=$ $0, p=0.1$

Also we demonstrate convergence of $\hat{\mathbf{E}} Q_{1}$ to $\mathrm{E} Q_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{E}} X_{1}$ to $\mathrm{E} X_{1}$ for the system with exponential service time and the following parameters

$$
\lambda_{1}=18, \mu_{1}=10, \mu_{12}=\mu_{2}=5, p=0.1, N_{1}=2
$$

with $C=1$ (see Fig. 3 and Fig. 4).


Fig. 3. Convergence of $\hat{\mathrm{E}} Q_{1}$ to the theoretical value $\mathrm{E} Q_{1}=5.987, C=$ $1, p=0.1$


Fig. 4. Convergence of $\hat{\mathrm{E}} X_{1}$ to the theoretical value $\mathrm{E} X_{1}=1.768, C=$ $1, p=0.1$

Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 demonstrate monotone decrease of $\hat{E} Q_{1}$ and $\hat{E} X_{1}$ as probability of a jump $p$ increases for the system with

$$
\lambda_{1}=18, \lambda_{2}=7, \mu_{1}=10, \mu_{12}=\mu_{2}=5, N_{1}=2, C=0
$$

and number of arrivals $n=100000$. Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 illustrate the same property of $\hat{\mathrm{E}} Q_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{E}} X_{1}$ for the same system with $C=1$.


Fig. 5. Monotone decrease of $\hat{\mathrm{E}} Q_{1}, C=0$


Fig. 6. Monotone decrease of $\hat{E} X_{1}, C=0$


Fig. 7. Monotone decrease of $\hat{E} Q_{1}, C=1$


Fig. 8. Monotone decrease of $\hat{\mathrm{E}} X_{1}, C=1$
As expected, when threshold $C$ is fixed and probability of a jump $p$ increases, both $\hat{\mathrm{E}} Q_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{E}} X_{1}$ decreases. It happens, because waiting class- 1 customers jump to the 2 nd pool with larger probability.

Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 demonstrate monotone increase of E^ $Q_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{E}} X_{1}$ as threshold $C$ increases for the system with

$$
\lambda_{1}=18, \lambda_{2}=7, \mu_{1}=10, \mu_{12}=\mu_{2}=5, N_{1}=2, p=1
$$

and number of arrivals $n=100000$.


Fig. 9. Monotone increase of $\hat{\mathrm{E}} Q_{1}$


Fig. 10. Monotone increase of $\hat{E} X_{1}$

## V. Conclusion

In this paper, we study the $N$-model consisting of two pools where the 1st pool is a classic queueing system and the server of the 2 nd pool uses multiple vacations policy. When queue size in the 1 st pool exceeds a threshold $C$, a waiting customer may jump to the 2 nd pool, if it is active at this instant. We derive the stationary distribution of the number of customers of the 1st pool. Moreover, we find condition implying convergence of the basic birth-death process in the 1st pool to a stationary distribution, when the 2nd pool approaches a multiple vacation policy. Theoretical results are illustrated by a few numerical examples obtained by simulation.
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