



Content (maximal)

1. Introduction: Problem motivation

- 2. Methodology of context-driven data mining-1: Transformation of (relational) data sample to object DB form
- 3. Methodology of context-driven data mining-2: Expert–driven Feature Selection and Corresponding Object Data Sample Transformation
- 4. Methodology of context-driven data mining-3: Feature aggregation
- 5. Methodology of context-driven data mining-4: Feature filtering
- 6. Methodology of context-driven data mining-5: Feature causality analysis
- 7. Software implementation and reusability
- 8. Some experimental results
- 9. Conclusion: New results and perspectives

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...





Peculiarities of Object Data

- It is bad since every object instance can be specified by different structure, and attributes, and, therefore, by different features from data mining viewpoint;
- This is good since these differences explicitly reflect different contexts of various object instances;
- This is good since object is specified in in terms of ontology concepts and relations between them, therefore, each object implicitly contains domain knowledge introduced by knowledge engineer (ontology developer);
- It is very good, since ontology enriches learning data sample with expert knowledge and therefore can significantly enrich knowledge that can potentially be extracted from object data base;
- But this is bad, since it make the data mining problem *much more complex*.

Example of oblect instance: E-mail Instance

EMailltem

EntryID 00000003465C1F6148B1C40						
932E6C94E9F0490224D52100						
Size 477097						
Importance 1						
BodyFormat 2						
Conversation Topic KelwinMag Reseller Agreemen						
Read Receipt Request false						
ReceivedTime 38567.903020833335						
CreationTime 38567.905411597225						
FolderID 240						
Body Content George,						
As promised here is the "Redlined" agreement.						
Let us know what you can and can't live with in						
here. Thanks,						
Jonathan Kinsbery						
Effective Solutions eTrade Technology, Inc.						
123-456-7890-Wireless						
198-765-4321- Fax 654-312-7119- Office						
"http://www.etrade.com/web/main"						
eTrade Effective Solutions						
From: Olga Ginsberger						
Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 2009 11:47 AM						

Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 2009 11:47 AM To: Jonathan Kinsbery Cc: David Arnold Subject: KelwinMag Reseller Agreement Jonathan –

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...







V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...

Transformation of (relational) data sample to object DB form

Transformation of source (training) data set to the object form:

(1) *Development*, by domain experts, of the *domain ontology* thus enriching data sample with domain context and semantics

(2) Transformation training data set to object DB structure

Result:

 Initial *training sample* is represented by the set of objects' instances in object DB, that is by the set of the relational DB tables with the ontology on top of it. Ontology plays the role of domain meta knowledge intended to provide objectoriented view of the relational data.

Note: There exists standard middleware that is capable to *in-fly transform data sample* represented in relational DB with *ontology* on top of relational DB *as a data meta model to the object form*. Therefore, *getting an object form* of a relational data given ontology *is a feasible* task.

• *Each instance* of training data sample is assigned the label ω_k of a class it belongs to:

$$\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, ..., \omega_m\}$$

E-mail Instance

EMailltem

EntryID 00000003465C1F6148B1C40						
932E6C94E9F0490224D52100						
Size 477097						
Importance 1						
BodyFormat 2						
Conversation Topic KelwinMag Reseller Agreemen						
Read Receipt Request false						
ReceivedTime 38567.903020833335						
CreationTime 38567.905411597225						
FolderID 240						
Body Content George,						
As promised here is the "Redlined" agreement.						
Let us know what you can and can't live with in						
here. Thanks,						
Jonathan Kinsbery						
Effective Solutions eTrade Technology, Inc.						
123-456-7890-Wireless						
198-765-4321- Fax 654-312-7119- Office						
"http://www.etrade.com/web/main"						
eTrade Effective Solutions						
From: Olga Ginsberger						
Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 2009 11:47 AM						
Io: Jonathan Kinsbery Cc: David Arnold						
Subject: KelwinMag Reseller Agreement						

Jonathan -

.....

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...

Text Analysis and Mining Technology Components

E-mail body mining

Objective: To extract and interpret e-mail context *in order to automatically use it for instantiation of the primary and secondary features* appearing in the e-mail body
 Tools used: IBM Language Resource Ware (LRW) and IBM Ontological Network Miner (ONM) (available at IBM's alphaworks site).

Pattern mined

- Regular expressions (e-mail and web addresses);
- LRW capabilities are used (1) for annotation, i.e. Dictionary–Based search using dictionaries of people and company names and (2) for Rule based annotation (text segmentation → segments of rule-based interpretations //E.g., for segment <Barry White >→ <Barry>, <White>→ {<Barry>→ FirstName> (using Dictionary), <White>→ Word → <Barry> + <White> + rule {"if FirstName with subsequent proper noun then they form FullPersonName"}→ <Barry White>→ FullPersonName. //
- ONM is used to extract key concepts from the text (text focus), even those that are not presented explicitly in the e-mail body.
- Ontology for text analysis and mining is to be developed by expert and specified in XML while using categories and concepts of an external ontology

Expert-driven Feature Generation and Corresponding Object Data Sample Transformation

Objective:

- *Feature* generation: domain experts is responsible for generation of potentially useful, • clearly understood and simply interpretable features in terms of ontology concepts or/and in terms of concepts attributes with no care about feature space dimensionality;
- Transformation of data sample data to new feature space . ٠

Examples of features for E-mail assistant case study:

Formal features		Secondary features		Secondary features type: pair-wise of the structure	
Feature	Measurement scale	Feature	Measurement scale	<notion: of="" values}="" {set=""> Examples of secondary features</notion:>	
E–mail size	Real	CC contact	categorical	 Connected notions of e-mail subject: (Company name: KelwinMag) Connected notions of e-mail body: (Position in company: contracts administrator) (Companies: effective solutions) (Phone numbers: 123-4567890, 198-7654321, 654 -3127119, 713-9143042, 705-9818362), (E-mail address: ginsberger@etrade.com), (Web address: http://www.etrade.com/web/main) (Proper noun: August), (Proper noun: eTrade), (Contact: Jonathan Kinsbery, Olga Ginsbergr). 	
E–mail sender	categorical	Connected notions of e- mail subject	Pair–wise of any measurement.		
Sender contact	categorical	Connected notions of e–mail body	Pair–wise of any measurement.		
CC E-mail	categorical	Attachment format	categorical/ Boolean		

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...

FRUCT 11, St. Petersburg 27.04. 2012

Principles of Feature Selection "Philosophy"

Reminder: Every feature should be expressed in terms of *ontology concept and/or their attributes* thus providing for a *well understandable semantics*. For feature that is not explicitly contained in ontology it is necessary *to establishing its relations to the existing ontology concepts*.

1. Feature as Classifier: There is no semantic difference between the concepts "feature" and "classifier". Every feature X_i can be thought of as a (simple) classifier like that:

if $P(X_i \in X_i^{(k)})$ then ω_k ,

and, vice versa, every classifier can be considered as a (complex) feature.

- 2. Good and bad features: Slightly re-formulating the Condorcet theorem one can say that a *classifier is "good" if its accuracy is strictly more than 0.5.* Otherwise a classifier is useless. Analogously, one can say that a feature is "good" if a one-variable classifier using this feature is "good".
- 3. *Main receipts*: The "*recipes*" against huge scale of both data size and dimensionality are *feature aggregation, filtering and causality discovery*.
- 4. *Personalization: Feature selection* procedure should be *class-targeted*, i.e., a *specific* set of *features* are generated for *each class* of object instances.

Feature aggregation: One-feature-Naïve-Bayes classifier case

Let X_i , i = 1,..., n, is a feature with discrete domain X_i . Let $x_s^{(i)} \in X_i$ -- a particular value of the feature X_i . Let us compute disjoint sets $X_i^{(k)} \subset X_i$ in the following way:

For any value $x_s^{(i)} \in X_i$ of the feature X_i this value $x_s^{(i)} \in X_i^{(k)}$

If and only if

$$p(\omega_k / x_s^{(i)}) > p(\omega_v / x_s^{(i)}) + \delta_i \text{ for any } v \neq k,$$

where $p(\omega_k / x_s^{(i)})$ and $p(\omega_v / x_s^{(i)})$ is conditional probabilities of classes $\omega_k, \omega_v, \omega_v, \omega_k \in \Omega, k = 1, ..., m$, respectively.

One-feature Naïve Bayes classifier

If
$$x_{s}^{(i)} \in oldsymbol{X}_{i}^{(k)}$$
 , then \mathscr{O}_{k}

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...

Aggregated Unary Predicate Search – Target of the Third Phase of the Methodology

For each aggregate $X_i^{(k)}$ the aggregated unary predicate $F_i^{(k)}$ is introduced in the following way: if $x_r^{(k)} \in X_i^{(k)}$ then $L[F_i^{(k)}(x_r^{(i)})] = true$

Therefore $X_{i}^{(k)}$ is the truth domain for unary predicates $F_{i}^{(k)}$.

Using training data sample, each aggregated unary predicate can be mapped with conditional probability $p(\omega_k / L[F_i^k] = true) = p(\omega_k / F_i^k),$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p(\omega_k / F_i^k) = 1$

Search for aggregated unary predicates $F_i^{(k)}$ assigned with conditional probabilities $p(\omega_k / F_i^k)$ for all features X_i , i = 1, ..., n, and all classes $\omega_k \in \Omega$, k = 1, ..., m, - goal of the third phase of the context-driven DM methodology

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...

Negative Feature Aggregation: One-feature-Naïve-Bayes classifier case

Let X_i , i = 1,..., n, is a feature with discrete domain X_i . Let $x_s^{(i)} \in X_i$ -- a particular value of the feature X_i . Let us compute disjoint sets $Y_i^{(k)} \subset X_i$ in the following way: For any value $x_s^{(i)} \in X_i$ of the feature X_i this value $x_s^{(i)} \in Y_i^{(k)}$ if and only if this value $x_s^{(i)}$ is not met in any instance of class \mathcal{O}_k .

One-feature Naïve Bayes classifier

f
$$x_{s}^{(i)}\in \! oldsymbol{Y}_{i}^{(k)}$$
 , then $\overline{oldsymbol{arOmega}}_{k}$

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...

Feature Filtering

Starting point for feature filtering: - The sets of aggregated unary predicates assigned with conditional probabilities:

 $\omega_{1}: p(\omega_{1} / F_{i_{1}}^{(1)}), p(\omega_{1} / F_{i_{2}}^{(1)}),..., p(\omega_{1} / F_{i_{r}}^{(1)}) \\ \omega_{2}: p(\omega_{2} / F_{j_{1}}^{(2)}), p(\omega_{2} / F_{j_{2}}^{(2)}),..., p(\omega_{2} / F_{j_{s}}^{(2)})$

 $\omega_m: p(\omega_m / F_{v_1}^{(m)}), \quad p(\omega_m / F_{v_2}^{(m)}), \dots, \quad p(\omega_m / F_{v_t}^{(m)})$

Every unary predicate $F_i^{(k)}$ can be considered as *one-feature classifier* for which *contingency matrix can be computed* using training data sample

with conditional probabilities $p(\omega_k / F_i^k)$ and $p(\overline{\omega_k} / \neg F_i^k)$ on their diagonals.

Let us note that all probabilities are computed using testing data set and cross-validation

Filtering rule:

Aggregated unary predicate $F_i^{(k)}$ remains in the feature list *if it is a "good feature*]: $p(\omega_k / F_i^{\ k}) + p(\overline{\omega_k} / \neg F_i^{\ k}) > 0,5$

otherwise it is filtered (In accordance with the Condorset Theorem).

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...

Peculiatities of Feature Set Formed

- 1. The set $\{F_R \cup G_R\}$ of predicates (positive and negative) successfully passed through filtering forms the *context dependent feature space*.
- 2. All features of the set $\{F_R \cup G_R\}$, independently of their initial measurement scales, are *finally measured in Boolean* measurement *scale* thus forming *homogeneous feature space*;
- 3. An important peculiarity of the feature set is that *each class is specified in its specific feature space* and each feature has its own competence domain.
- 4. Each *feature can be interpreted as a classifier* that can be used in various decision making schemas (voting, ensemble classifier rule, etc.). It also can be *interpreted as feature that can be further aggregated, transformed, etc.*E.g., in the developed technology the next step is feature causal analysis and second phase filtering.

5. An important *feature* property is that they *represented in terms of unary predicates* of the first order logic but not in terms of propositional variables as it usually take place.

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...

Causal Analysis: Problem Statement

Given:

1. Set of Boolean context-based features (subjected to two step filtering)

2. Set of Boolean context-based negative

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{R}} = \{F_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{(1)}, F_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{(2)}, \dots, F_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{(m)}\}$$

$$G_R = \{G_R^{(1)}, G_R^{(2)}, \dots, G_R^{(m)}\}$$

3. Data sample needed to compute statistical estimations of probabilities

To find: (according to associative classification idea accepted in this work), Causality-based filtered rule set based of "positive" features:

$$F_{i_{1}}^{(1)} \rightarrow \omega_{1}, \qquad F_{i_{2}}^{(1)} \rightarrow \omega_{1}, \dots, \qquad F_{i_{r}}^{(1)} \rightarrow \omega_{1}.$$

$$F_{j_{1}}^{(2)} \rightarrow \omega_{2}, \qquad F_{j_{2}}^{(2)} \rightarrow \omega_{2}, \dots, \qquad F_{j_{s}}^{(2)} \rightarrow \omega_{2}.$$

$$F_{v_{1}}^{(m)} \rightarrow \omega_{m}, \qquad F_{v_{2}}^{(m)} \rightarrow \omega_{m}, \dots, \qquad F_{v_{t}}^{(m)} \rightarrow \omega_{m}.$$

Causality-based filtered rule set based on negative features:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
G_{z_1}^{(1)} \to \overline{\omega}_1, & G_{z_2}^{(1)} \to \overline{\omega}_1, & G_{z_r}^{(1)} \to \overline{\omega}_1, \\
G_{w_1}^{(2)} \to \overline{\omega}_2, & G_{w_2}^{(2)} \to \overline{\omega}_2, & G_{w_s}^{(2)} \to \overline{\omega}_2, \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
G_{g_1}^{(m)} \to \overline{\omega}_m, & G_{g_2}^{(m)} \to \overline{\omega}_m, & G_{g_t}^{(m)} \to \overline{\omega}_m. \\
\end{array}$$

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...

Causality Measure and Filtering Condition

Regression coefficient of two random events (not variables!!!) A and B is defined as follows:

$$|R(A,B)| = |p(B/A) - p(B/\overline{A})| =$$

= p(A)p(B) - p(A,B) / { p(A)[1 - p(A)]}

Causality-based filtering conditions:

for
$$\forall i, \forall k : R(F_i^{(k)}, \omega_k) = p(\omega_k / F_{i_1}^{(1)}) - p(\omega_k / \overline{F_{i_1}}^{(1)})$$

 $|R(F_i^{(k)}, \omega_k)| \ge \Delta_k.$

for
$$\forall i, \forall k : R(G_i^{(k)}, \overline{\omega}_k) = p(\overline{\omega}_k / G_{i_1}^{(1)}) - p(\overline{\omega}_k / \overline{G}_{i_1}^{(1)})$$

 $|R(G_i^{(k)}, \overline{\omega}_k)| \ge \Delta_k.$

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...

Personal Outlook E-mail Assistant

Experimental settings

1. The numerical features the modified Quinlan information gain measure was used with splitting numerical domains into 10 equally probable intervals.

2. Expert generated features were subjected to two-step filtering (Naïve Bayes-based and causal filtering). For each class, 30 best features (rules) were selected.

3. Several algorithm including weighted voting algorithm were used for decision making.

Testing results (using testing sample)

1. Accuracy averaged over all classe4s (folders)								
	Coverage	False Alarr	n Refusal					
Probability	0,75	0,0833	0,167					
Number of e-mails	9	1	2					
Accuracy for every particular class (folder)								
Folder number								
4	1	0	0					
5	1	0	0					
7	0,5	0	0,5					
12	1	0	0					
13	0	0	1					
14	1	0	0					
20	0,5	0,5	0					

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...

Discussion of Experimental Results

Real user mail box (structured folders and e-mails contained in them) were used for training and testing - *without any simplifications.*

In general, the results *confirm feasibility, efficiency* and high *quality* of the technology proposed. Training and testing jointly take about 10-15 minutes.

Bad results concerning with the folders #5 and #20 result from very *limited* training data *sample* size. Actually, the *ontology needs a refinement* and *thresholds* needs more *experimentations*.

Conclusion: New Results and Technology Perspectives

A context-driven data mining technology is proposed. It uses expert-based enrichment of the learning sample with domain ontology

- Technology is oriented to expert-based generation and selection of context-dependent features. As a result, 1) each class of decision is provided with particular set of features that can significantly differs from the sets extracted for other classes; 2) as applied to recommendation systems, the technology provides for user-personalized decision making.
- Technology proposed is applicable to the mining of large scale heterogeneous data that also can contain texts on a natural language.
- An important advantage of the technology is that feature transformation and selection mechanism proposed results in homogeneous feature space independently of types of data in initial data sample. At that, feature are represented in Boolean measurement scale in terms of unary predicates of the first order logics.
- A new technology component is causal analysis that uses new metrics to measure the strength
 of causal dependence between the variables which is used for effective and efficient filtering of
 potential set of the features. In contrast with the existing approaches, the proposed measure
 does require to compute explicitly neither support, nor confidence. Therefore it makes it
 possible to search for rare and negative causal rules.
- An experimental experience proved that the proposed approach is capable to cope with very "heavy" applications when training data set is of of terra bite size.
- Further research will be oriented for application-based verification and further modification of the technology with the more focus on social network mining and recommendation systems including web-based and mobile application.

Questions...?

Contact

E-mail: gor@iias.spb.su http://practical-reasoning.com/ http//space.iias.spb.su/ai

V.Gorodetsky et al. Context-driven data mining...